Retrograde Neurotrophic Signaling Requires a Protein Interacting with Receptor Tyrosine Kinases via C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{2} Zinc Fingers
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Neurotrophins at axonal terminals signal to cell bodies to regulate neuronal development via signaling endosomes containing activated Trk receptor tyrosine kinases and mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs). Requirements for the formation of signaling endosomes remain, however, poorly characterized. Here we show that a novel Trk-interacting protein, NTRAP (neurotrophic factor receptor–associated protein), plays a crucial role in this signaling process. NTRAP interacts with the Trk intracellular domain through its C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{2} zinc fingers in a kinase-dependent manner. It is associated with vesicles, some of which contain markers for signaling endosomes. Inhibition of NTRAP function suppresses neurotrophin-induced neurite outgrowth in PC12 cells by altering TrkA endocytic traffic, inhibiting the formation of endosomes containing persistently active MAPKs. In compartmentalized sensory neuron cultures, down-regulation of NTRAP abolishes the ability of neurotrophins applied to distal axons to activate the transcription factor adenosine 3',5'-monophosphate response element-binding protein (CREB) and to promote neuronal survival. We propose that NTRAP regulates retrograde neurotrophic signaling by controlling the formation of signaling endosomes.

INTRODUCTION

The correct formation of neuronal connections is essential for the proper function of the nervous system. Such connections are generated through multiple steps that include specification and growth of axons and dendrites, target innervation, synaptogenesis, programmed cell death, and synaptic refinement. Neurotrophins secreted by innervated target tissues are crucial for these developmental processes (Zweifel et al., 2005; Cosker et al., 2008). They exert many biological effects by binding and activating specific Trk receptor tyrosine kinases at axonal terminals of presynaptic neurons; nerve growth factor (NGF) activates TrkA, brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) and neurotrophin 4/5 (NT4/5) activate TrkB, and neurotrophin 3 (NT3) activates TrkC (Reichardt, 2006). The activated Trk receptors then induce local responses at axonal terminals and retrograde responses in neuronal cell bodies residing at considerable distances from the terminals in many cases (Kuruvilla et al., 2004; Wickramasinghe et al., 2008).

Several models have been proposed to explain how the neurotrophic signal reaches cell bodies from axonal terminals. They include retrograde transport of internalized neurotrophin-receptor complexes in vesicles (signaling endosomes), retrograde propagation of signaling effectors, retrograde waves of Trk receptor activation along the plasma membrane, and retrograde calcium waves emanating from activated Trk receptors (Wu et al., 2008). Substantial evidence from in vivo and in vitro studies supports the signaling endosome model, which proposes that upon neurotrophin binding, neurotrophins and activated Trk receptors are internalized at axonal terminals, packaged into signaling endosomes, and retrogradely transported to neuronal cell bodies (Ehlers et al., 1995; von Bartheld et al., 1996; Howe et al., 2001; Delcroix et al., 2003; Ye et al., 2003; Heerssen et al., 2004). Signaling endosomes isolated from the sciatic nerve contain NGF, activated TrkA, phosphorylated mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs), Rap1, and dynen (Delcroix et al., 2003). Because signaling endosomes have to move over long distances in some neurons, they should be specialized vesicles that have evolved to be long-lived by avoiding proteolytic degradation (Howe and Mobley, 2005; Zweifel et al., 2005). Recent studies using compartmentalized neuronal cultures have shown that retrograde transport of a neurotrophin-Trk receptor complex is necessary and sufficient for neuronal responses mediated by target-derived neurotrophins, including signaling events in cell bodies (Senger and Campenot, 1997; Watson et al., 2001), activation of the transcription factor cyclic AMP responsive element-binding protein (CREB; Riccio et al., 1999; Watson et al., 1999), and survival (Ye et al., 2003; Heerssen et al., 2004). However, the formation, sorting, and the exact composition of signaling endosomes remain unclear.
Here we report the identification of a novel Trk-interact- ing protein (named neurotroph factor receptor-associated protein [NTRAP]), which contains one RING finger, five C2-H2 zinc fingers, and two proline-rich regions. NTRAP interacts with Trk receptor tyrosine kinases in a kinase-dependent manner through the C2-H2 zinc fingers. Results from PC12 cells and compartmentalized sensory neuron cultures indicate that NTRAP is essential for retrograde signaling, likely by controlling the formation of signaling endosomes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents

Cell culture reagents were purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). Antibodies to TrkA (Santa Cruz, CA), phosphorylated CREB (p-CREB; Ser133), Akt, phosphorylated Akt (p-Akt), extracellular signal-regulated kinases 1 and 2 (ERK1/2), phosphorylated ERK1/2 (p-ERK1/2), phosphorylated TrkA (p-TrkA; Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA), early endosome antigen 1 (EEA1; BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ), Rap1 (BD Transduction Laboratories, San Jose, CA), Rab7, Myc, c-abl (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), Flag (Stratagene, Cedar Creek, TX), and hemagglutinin (HA; BabCO, Richmond, CA) were obtained commercially. Antibody against NGF (goat anti-mouse NGF) was a generous gift from Dr. Keith A. Campenot, (1977). DRG cells were maintained in DMEM medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 μg/ml streptomycin. HEK293 cells were cultured in DMEM medium containing 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 μg/ml streptomycin, 2 mM glutamine, and 10% FBS. Cells were maintained at 37°C under an atmosphere of 7.5% CO2 and saturated humidity.

Yeast Two-Hybrid System

For library screening, the cDNA encoding the intracellular domain of rat TrkC was inserted into the plasmid pBTM16 (generated by Paul Bartel and Stan Fields, University of Washington, Seattle, WA) between the EcoRI and SmaI sites to generate pBTM-TrkC, which should express a LexA-TrkC fusion protein in yeast cells. To identify proteins that interact with the TrkC intracellular domain, we used a cDNA library generated from random cDNA fragments derived from mouse embryos at day E10.5 (Volkert et al., 1993). The library DNA (250 μg) was transformed into L40 Saccharomyces cerevisiae cells (Mat a trp1 leu2 lys2 his3 trp1-289 ura3-52) containing pBTM-TrkC. Approximately 1 × 108 transformants were grown in synthetic medium lacking tryptophan, leucine, histidine, uracil, and lysine and containing 10 mM 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole (3-AT). The plates were incubated at 30°C for 2 wk. His+ colonies were grown on a synthetic medium lacking leucine and tryptophan at 30°C for 3 d and then assayed for β-galactosidase activity by a filter assay (Breeden and Nasmyth, 1985). To identify genes that were expressed in the yeast cells in response to TrkC, His+ colonies were isolated and examined for the expression of ZF-HA by dideoxy sequencing.

Constructs of NTRAP Short Hairpin RNA

To generate a construct expressing either NTRAP shRNA or a scrambled derivative of the NTRAP shRNA (control shRNA), two pairs of oligonucleotides were designed to produce DNA duplexes and subsequently cloned into the vector pSUPER (Brummellkamp et al., 2002). NTRAP shRNA, 5'-gatcgccgtgagggctgtgcagttcatgcccagctgccggcatctttggag-3', 5'-agctggcctgtgccagctgccgcaagtcggctagggctggc-3' control shRNA, 5'-gatcgccgtgagggctgtgcagttcatgcccagctgccggcatctttggag-3', 5'-agctggcctgtgccagctgccgcaagtcggctagggctggc-3'. Two adeno-associated virus (AV) constructs were developed: one expressing NTRAP shRNA and EGFP (NTRAP shRNA AAV) and another expressing the control shRNA and EGFP (control shRNA AAV). Enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) was used to mark the infected cells. In these two constructs, shRNAs and EGFP are under the control of the H1 promoter and the cytomegalovirus promoter, respectively. The EGFP expression unit is downstream of the shRNA expression unit, and the two expression units are in the same transcription direction. Control shRNA AAV and NTRAP shRNA AAV viral particles were produced in AAV-293 cells according to the manufacturer's protocol (Stratagene).

Protein Expression

To generate mammalian expression constructs for NTRAP, TrkC, or TrkA, the cDNA encoding mouse NTRAP, rat TrkC or rat TrkA was inserted into the multiple cloning sites of mammalian expression vector pcDNA 3.1 (+) or pcDNA3.1/myc-His A. In the shRNA-resistant NTRAP (NTRAp) expressing construct, a targeted sequence of NTRAP-shRNA was changed to gagttgattgattgag. The sequence change does not alter the amino acid sequence of the NTRAP protein. The Flag tag was located immediately after the signal peptide in the TrkA construct, whereas the Myc tag is at the C-terminus in the TrkC construct. To express ZF-HA, a portion of the NTRAP cDNA (corresponding to the protein region from A105 to Q243) tagged with HA sequence was generated by PCR and cloned into vector pLP-LNCX. The following PCR primers were used: 5'-caagtcctggtagctgccgcgtacctctctagagc-3' (forward) and 5'-actatctcagttctctccgctcagctgccgcgtacctctctagagc-3' (reverse). Cells were grown in 100-mm dishes and transfected with specific constructs using lipofectamine and PLUS reagent according to the manufacturer's protocol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). For transfection efficiency, cells were treated and stained as described above after transfection. For stable expression of pl-pLNCX, PC12 cells were transfected and passed at a 1:10 dilution into selective medium (G418 at 300 μg/ml) 3 d after transfection. Eighty-five clones were isolated and examined for the expression of ZF-HA by Western blotting using anti-HA antibody. Two lines (ZF-HA1 and ZF-HA2) stably expressing ZF-HA were identified.

Cell Viability Assay

Cell viability was assessed by using a modified 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay. Briefly, ~10,000 PC12 cells per well were seeded in 96-well plates at a density of 4 000 cells/well in 200 μl of medium. After 6 h of incubation at 37°C, the medium was changed to serum-free medium. NGF (100 ng/ml) was added 45 min later, and cells were incubated for 24 h. At the end of the incubation period, 10 μl of MTT at 5
mg/ml was added to each well and then incubated for 1 h at 37°C. After 100 μl of solubilization solution (50% DMSO in 5% SDS) was added to stop the reaction, and absorption at 540 nm was measured with reference at 690 nm. The experiment was repeated four times, and averages of the four independent experiments were used in the analysis.

**TUNEL Staining**

PC12 cells were grown on collagen S-coated eight-well chambers (Nunc, Rochester, NY) in DMEM medium as described above. Approximately 100,000 PC12 cells were seeded onto each well in collagen S-coated eight-well chambers with 300 μl of growth medium. Cells were incubated at 37°C overnight. After serum starvation for 45 min, 100 ng/ml NGF was added to PC12 cells. Twenty-four hours later, cells were fixed and permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS. Apoptotic cells were assessed by detection of DNA fragmentation using the standard TUNEL (terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase-mediated biotinylated dUTP nick end labeling) method (DeadEnd Colorimetric TUNEL System, Promega, Madison, WI) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Observations were carried out using a Nikon microscope (Melvyl, NV). Apoptotic-positive or -negative cells were counted for each well.

**Immunohistochemistry and Immunocytochemistry**

We performed immunohistochemistry of sections and immunocytochemistry of cultured cells as described (Farinas et al., 1996; An et al., 2008). Mouse embryos at E12.5 were fixed in Carnoy’s fixative (10% glacial acetic acid, 30% chloroform, and 60% ethanol) overnight. The embryos were dehydrated, embedded in paraffin, and sectioned at 7 μm on a rotary microtome. DRGs were dissected from E18.5 rat embryos fixed immediately with 4% paraformaldehyde, and sectioned with a cryostat at 10 μm. Tissue sections or cultured cells on slides were washed with TBS (10 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.5) twice. Cells and sections were permeabilized and blocked in a blocking buffer (4% BSA, 0.1% Tween-20) for 1 h at room temperature. The beads were washed three times in cold lysis buffer and boiled in 2× SDS-PAGE sample buffer. The amounts of GTP-bound Rap1 were analyzed by Western blotting using SDS-PAGE gels and an anti-Rap1 antibody (Invitrogen). Rap1 were analyzed by Western blotting using SDS-PAGE gels and an anti-Rap1 antibody (Invitrogen).

**Rap1 Activation Assay**

To detect the active form of Rap1 (GTP-bound), the constructs containing glutathione S-transferase (GST) and Rap-binding domain of RaI GDS (RaIGDSRBD; kindly provided by Dr. Chenbiao Wu, Stanford University) were expressed in Escherichia coli strain BL21 LySE cells. The fusion proteins were purified as described (Castro et al., 2005). PC12 cells were treated with or without NGF (100 ng/ml) for different amounts of time after being starved in serum-free medium overnight. Cells were lysed on ice for 20 min in a lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 10% glycerol, 1% NP-40, 200 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 2 μg/ml leupeptin, 1 μM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 1 mM NaN₃VO₄, 1 μM pepstatin A, 2 μg/ml antipain, pH 7.5) for 30 min on ice and centrifuged at 12,500 rpm for 20 min. Protein concentrations of lysates were measured using the BCA protein assay kit (Pierce Biotechnology). Protein lysates in the SDS loading buffer were denatured for 5 min at 100°C, separated on SDS polyacrylamide gels, and transferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride membranes. The membranes were blocked with 5% nonfat milk in TBST (10 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% Tween-20) for 1 h and then incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C. After two washes with TBST, the membranes were incubated with the appropriate secondary antibody conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (Pierce Biotechnology) for 1 h at room temperature. The membranes were then washed three times with TBST, and proteins were visualized using the ECL plus system (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ). The membranes were then stripped and blotted with an antibody to α-tubulin.

**Immunoprecipitation**

Cell lysates (1 mg protein) were preclarified by incubation with 50 μl protein A/G agarose (Pierce Biotechnology). The supernatant was then incubated with primary antibodies at 4°C overnight with continuous rotation. Protein A/G agarose (50 μl) was added to the mixture in the next morning, and the tube was rotated at 4°C for 2 h. Protein A/G agarose was collected by centrifugation, washed five times in 1 ml of the lysis buffer, resuspended in 15 μl 2× SDS loading buffer, and heated at 100°C for 5 min. The immunoprecipitates were resolved on SDS polyacrylamide gels and blotted with specific antibodies.

**Northern Blotting**

Mouse adult tissue RNA blot (Mouse MTRN blot) was purchased from Clontech Laboratories (Mountain View, CA). Probes were labeled with [α-³²P]dCTP using the Rediprime II Random Prime Labeling System (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ). Hybridization was performed in Rapid-hyb buffer according to the manufacturer’s protocol (GE Healthcare).

**Cell Fractionation**

PC12 cells were fractionated using a previously described method (Wu et al., 2001). Equal numbers of cells were plated in 100-mm plates before NGF treatment. One plate of PC12 cells was used for one gradient. Membrane fractions were collected from the four interfaces of gradients after centrifugation. Proteins were precipitated from the membrane fractions using 13% trichloroacetic acid and washed with acetone. The air-dried pellets were dissolved in 2× SDS loading buffer and analyzed by immunoblotting.

**RESULTS**

**Identification of a Novel Trk-interacting Protein**

To identify proteins that are involved in neurotrophic signaling, we used a yeast two-hybrid system to search for novel proteins that interact with the Trk receptors. The intracellular domain of the TrkC receptor tyrosine kinase was fused to the LexA DNA-binding domain that contains a dimerization motif. The motif should induce dimerization of the fusion protein, which leads to activation of the TrkC tyrosine kinase. The fusion protein was used as a bait to screen a cDNA library constructed by fusing cDNAs prepared from mouse embryos to a DNA sequence encoding the VP16 transactivation domain. The screen successfully pulled out the majority of known Trk-interacting proteins, including Src, phospholipase C-γ (PLC-γ), Abl, and SH2-B (Reichard, 2006). It also identified several novel Trk-interacting proteins.

One of the novel Trk-interacting proteins is a 96-kDa protein that contains one RING finger, five tandem C₂H₂ zinc fingers, and two proline-rich regions (Figure 1A). This protein, which we named NTRAP, is identified as Zfp598 in the NCBI nucleotide database (Accession no. NM_183149) with no known functions and is conserved from yeast S. cerevisiae to humans. Yeast two-hybrid assays showed that NTRAP was also able to interact with TrkA, TrkB, and Met (the receptor for hepatocyte growth factor; Figure 1B) but not the receptor for epidermal growth factor (Supplemental Figure S1).

To confirm the association of NTRAP with TrkC in mammalian cells, we first raised rabbit antisera against a synthesized 50-amino acid peptide corresponding to the sequence between the last C₂H₂ zinc finger and the first proline-rich domain and purified the serum with an affinity column. The purified antibody recognized only a single protein with the expected molecular weight of NTRAP in protein extracts prepared from PC12 cells and embryonic rat DRG neurons (Figure 1C), indicating that the antibody is highly specific to NTRAP. We expressed Myc-tagged TrkC (TrkC-Myc) alone or with NTRAP in HEK293 cells, treated cells with NT3 or vehicle for 20 min, precipitated protein extracts prepared from the treated cells with Myc antibodies, and performed immunoblotting to detect NTRAP in immunoprecipitates. A small amount of NTRAP was present in the immunoprecipitate in the absence of NT3. However, NT3 greatly increased the amount of NTRAP pulled down.
by Myc antibodies (Figure 1D). NTRAP was expressed in HEK293 cells, and overexposure indicated that the Myc antibody also pulled down endogenous NTRAP in an NT3-dependent manner (Figure 1D). In a similar experiment, we observed that 20-min NGF treatment greatly enhanced the association of NTRAP with TrkA (Figure 1E). Furthermore, NGF treatment also enhanced the association of endogenous NTRAP and TrkA in PC12 cells (Figure 1F). These results demonstrate that NTRAP is able to interact with the Trk receptors and that the activation of the tyrosine kinase greatly strengthens the interaction.

**Expression Pattern of NTRAP**

If the interaction between NTRAP and Trk receptors has physiological significance, NTRAP should be expressed in neurons. Northern hybridization analyses revealed that NTRAP mRNAs were widely expressed in adult mice with high levels of NTRAP mRNAs in lung, liver, kidney, testis, and brain (Figure 1G). However, NTRAP expression was mainly restricted to the nervous system in mouse embryos at E12.5, as revealed by immunohistochemistry (Figure 1H). At this stage, NTRAP was highly expressed in the brain, spinal...
cord, DRG, and liver. In DRG neurons, NTRAP was localized in axons and the cytoplasm (Figure 1, I and J). This expression pattern indicates that NTRAP and Trk receptors are expressed in the same DRG neurons because nearly every DRG neuron expresses one or more Trk receptors in E12.5 mouse embryos (Farinas et al., 1998).

We used an antibody that recognizes all three Trk receptors for examining colocalization of NTRAP with Trk receptors in individual cells. In E18.5 rat DRG, all Trk-expressing neurons also expressed NTRAP (Figure 2, A–C). Nevertheless, a small number of DRG neurons expressed NTRAP but little Trk. This result is consistent with the observation that TrkA is down-regulated in nonpeptidergic Ret-expressing nociceptors during late embryogenesis and postnatally (Luo et al., 2007). Within the DRG neurons expressing both NTRAP and Trks, the majority of Trks (72 ± 3%, n = 56 neurons) were colocalized with NTRAP (Figure 2, A1–C1).

Identification of Interaction Domains in TrkC and NTRAP

To identify which domains of NTRAP are required to interact with the Trk receptors, we used yeast two-hybrid assays to examine interactions between the TrkC intracellular domain and NTRAP mutants. If two proteins interact, expression of the His3 reporter gene will be induced, which will allow host yeast cells to grow on plates lacking histidine. This assay showed that TrkC interacted with the N-terminal fragment containing the RING motif and C2H2 zinc fingers of NTRAP (ZFS), but not with its C-terminal half (NTRAPc; Figure 3, A and B). We next examined whether individual zinc fingers are capable of interacting with TrkC. As shown in Figure 3B, TrkC weakly interacted with the third C2H2 zinc finger (ZF3), but not with the RING motif, the first or the fourth C2H2 zinc finger (RING+ZF1, ZF1, and ZF4+). Interestingly, the addition of the first two (ZF1–ZF3) or the fourth (ZF3 + 4) C2H2 zinc finger enhanced the interaction of the third C2H2 zinc finger with TrkC. To demonstrate that the third C2H2 zinc finger is crucial for the interaction of NTRAP with the Trk receptors, we used a β-galactosidase activity assay to quantify the interaction of TrkC with the third C2H2 zinc finger alone (#3 C2H2) or an NTRAP mutant (NTRAP-ZFM) in which two cysteine residues of the third C2H2 zinc finger were replaced with two alanine residues (Figure 3C). The third C2H2 zinc finger interacted with TrkC well, whereas the cysteine-to-alanine replacement reduced the interaction strength between NTRAP and TrkC by 90%. These results show that the third C2H2 zinc finger is necessary and sufficient to mediate the interaction of NTRAP and TrkC.
Our immunoprecipitation results show the importance of the tyrosine kinase in the association of NTRAP with the Trk receptors (Figure 1, D–F). We further explored the role of the tyrosine kinase domain in the interaction using the yeast two-hybrid system. We inactivated TrkC tyrosine kinase by either deleting the tyrosine kinase domain and its trailing sequence (ΔTK) or substituting the lysine residue in the active site of the kinase with an asparagine residue (K572N). Using expression of β-galactosidase as an indicator, we found that in yeast cells ΔTK completely abolished, whereas the K572N substitution greatly reduced, the interaction (Figure 3D). Thus, NTRAP weakly interacts with inactive TrkC at the tyrosine kinase domain or its trailing sequence, and activation of the tyrosine kinase greatly enhances the interaction.

**An Essential Role for NTRAP in NGF-dependent Differentiation of PC12 Cells**

NGF promotes survival and differentiation of PC12 cells by activating the TrkA receptor. To determine the role of NTRAP in the action of neurotrophins, we used a retroviral vector to stably express its first four C2H2 zinc fingers (ZF) tagged with an HA epitope (ZF-HA) in PC12 cells. Because ZF-HA lacks the RING motif and the proline-rich domains of NTRAP, the expression of ZF-HA should interfere with the function of NTRAP. Two control lines transfected with the empty vector (vector 1 and vector 2) and two lines expressing ZF-HA (ZF-HA1 and ZF-HA2) were established. Western blots with an HA antibody revealed that line ZF-HA2 expressed a slightly higher amount of ZF-HA than line ZF-HA1 (Figure 4A). To assay the effect of ZF-HA on NGF-induced differentiation, we grew the four stable PC12 cell lines in a serum-free medium and treated cells with 100 ng/ml NGF. When a process was as long as or longer than two diameters of a cell, the cell was considered to be differentiated or have a neurite. The expression of ZF-HA reduced the number of cells with neurites after NGF treatment (Figure 4B). Quantification revealed that in the control lines neurites were observed in 34–40 and 85–92% of cells 2 d and 6 d after the addition of NGF, respectively. However, in the two lines expressing ZF-HA, neurites were only observed in 8–14 and 41–56% of cells 2 d and 6 d after the addition of NGF, respectively (Figure 4C). To exclude the possibility that the differentiation phenotype might result from cell death, we carried out MTT and TUNEL assays to monitor NGF-dependent survival. The expression of ZF-HA did not decrease cell viability in the presence of NGF, as revealed by the MTT assay (Figure 4D). In fact, line ZF-HA1 cells had better viability than control cells in the presence of NGF. TUNEL staining showed that the expression of ZF-HA did not increase apoptosis in the presence or absence of NGF (Figure 4E). These results suggest that NTRAP is required for NGF-induced differentiation but not for NGF-dependent survival in PC12 cells.

Because ZF-HA might block NGF-induced differentiation by sequestering proteins that are not involved in NGF signaling but that are important for neurite outgrowth, we further investigated the role of NTRAP in NGF signaling by using shRNAs. Plasmid pSUPER was used to express one shRNA that targets NTRAP mRNA (NTRAP shRNA) and one scrambled derivative of the shRNA (control shRNA). Each of the two shRNA constructs was transfected into PC12 cells along with a construct expressing EGFP. In control shRNA wells, NTRAP immunoreactivity was similar in EGFP-positive and negative cells. But expression of NTRAP shRNA greatly reduced NTRAP immunoreactivity in EGFP-positive cells (Figure 4, F and G), indicating that NTRAP shRNA is able to reduce NTRAP expression. This observation was further confirmed by immunoblot analysis of lysates prepared from HEK293 cells expressing either control shRNA or NTRAP shRNA (Figure 4H). To determine whether a reduction in NTRAP expression inhibits NGF-induced differentiation, we transfected PC12 cells with a construct expressing both EGFP and shRNA and 2 d after transfection treated the transfected cells with NGF. NGF-induced differentiation was severely impaired in PC12 cells expressing NTRAP shRNA compared with PC12 cells expressing control shRNA (Figure 4, I–K). The inhibitory effect was reversed by the expression of a shRNA-resistant NTRAP mRNA (NTRAPr) containing three silent single-nucleotide mutations at the NTRAP-shRNA–targeted site (Figure 4, H–K). These results further indicate that NTRAP is required for NGF-induced differentiation in PC12 cells.

**Inhibition of NTRAP Function Abolishes NGF-induced Persistent Activation of MAPKs**

Binding of NGF to the TrkA receptor in PC12 cells activates the ERK family of MAPKs via two small GTPases, Ras and Rap1. Ras induces the initial and transient ERK activation on the plasma membrane, whereas Rap1 induces persistent ERK activation in endosomes (York et al., 1998; Wu et al., 2001). Persistent ERK activity is required for NGF-induced differentiation in PC12 cells (Cowley et al., 1994; Traverse et al., 1994; Hisata et al., 2007). In agreement with these findings, TrkA endocytosis is essential for NGF-dependent differentiation but not survival in PC12 cells (Zhang et al., 2000). To investigate the possibility that NTRAP regulates differentiation through the Rap1-ERK pathway, we first measured levels of activated ERK1/2 in PC12 cells that express ZF-HA using antibodies specific to p-ERK1/2. Levels of activated ERK1/2 in the two lines expressing ZF-HA were significantly lower at 60 and 120 min but not 5 min after the addition of NGF when compared with those in a control line (Figure 5, A and B). To examine the effect of ZF-HA expression on Rap1 activation, we used the Rap1-binding domain of RalGDS to pull down the active form of Rap1 (GTP-bound) from cell lysates and then used immunoblotting to measure the amount of active Rap1. As shown in Figure 5C, the expression of ZF-HA did not affect levels of total Rap1 in PC12 cells, but delayed NGF-induced Rap1 activation. In support of this observation, the expression of ZF-HA altered subcellular localization of Rap1 (Figure 5D). It has been shown that endosomes containing Rap1 move to the perinuclear region from the cell periphery after TrkA is activated (Mochizuki et al., 2001; Wu et al., 2001). NGF-induced Rap1 relocation was significantly attenuated in cells of line ZF-HA2 compared with cells of line vector 1 (Figure 5, D and E). In contrast, the expression of ZF-HA did not affect NGF-induced Akt activation in PC12 cells (Figure 5F), which is consistent with the observation that the expression of ZF-HA did not interfere with NGF-dependent survival (Figure 4E). To investigate if NTRAP is also required for persistent activation of ERK1/2 in neurons, we knocked down NTRAP expression by infecting cultured DRG neurons with adenov-associated virus expressing shRNA and then examined activation of ERK1/2 (Figure 5G). NGF-induced ERK1/2 activation lasted for more than 6 h in DRG neurons, and the expression of NTRAP shRNA decreased levels of p-ERK1/2 at later time points but not at earlier time points when compared with the expression of control shRNA (Figure 5G). Taken together, these results suggest that NTRAP is required for the sustained TrkA signaling, likely in endosomes, in NGF-treated PC12 cells or neurons.
Figure 4. Inhibition of NTRAP blocks NGF-induced differentiation of PC12 cells. (A) Stable expression of HA epitope-tagged C2H2 zinc finger region of NTRAP (ZF-HA) in PC12 cells. Two control lines (vector 1 and vector 2) and two expression lines (ZF-HA1 and ZF-HA2) of PC12 cells were established. (B) Representative images showing inhibition of NGF-induced differentiation of PC12 cells by expression of ZF-HA. The images were taken after 4 d of NGF treatment. (C) Quantification of PC12 cell differentiation. The experiment was performed in triplicate. Percentage of cells with neurites was significantly lower in ZF-HA1 and ZF-HA2 lines compared with either of the two control lines at 2, 4, or 6 d of NGF treatment (p < 0.05 by Student’s t test). Error bars, SEs. (D) Effect of ZF-HA expression on viability of PC12 cells in the presence of NGF. Error bars, SEs. **p < 0.01 by Student’s t test. (E) No effect of HA-ZA expression on survival of PC12 cells in the presence or absence of NGF, as revealed by TUNEL staining (n = 4). Error bars, SEs. (F) Representative images showing reduced NTRAP immunoreactivity in PC12 cells expressing NTRAP shRNA 2 d after transfection. The shRNA constructs were cotransfected into PC12 cells with a construct expressing EGFP. (G) Quantification of NTRAP immunoreactivity in PC12 expressing either control shRNA or NTRAP shRNA. Error bars, SEs. *p < 0.05 by Student’s t test. (H) Western blot analysis of extracts prepared from HEK293 cells transfected with adeno-associated viral (AAV) constructs expressing both EGFP and shRNA (either control shRNA or NTRAP shRNA). Some cells were also cotransfected with a construct expressing shRNA-resistant NTRAP mRNA (NTRAPpr). The numbers represent the ratio of NTRAP amount to α-tubulin amount. (I) Representative images showing NGF-induced differentiation of PC12 cells expressing shRNA. PC12 cells were transfected with an AAV construct expressing EGFP and shRNA or cotransfected with the AAV construct expressing EGFP and NTRAP shRNA and a construct expressing NTRAPpr mRNA. Two days later, the cells were treated with 100 ng/ml NGF for 3 d. Scale bar, 10 μm. (J) Quantification of NGF-induced differentiation of PC12 cells expressing shRNA after 3 d of NGF treatment. Error bars, SEs. ***p < 0.001 by Student’s t test (n = 6–7 coverslips for each condition). (K) Measurement of neurite length in PC12 cells expressing shRNA after 3 d of NGF treatment. Error bars, SEs. ***p < 0.001 by Student’s t test (n = 20–22 cells for each condition).
NTRAP Regulates Endocytic Trafficking of the Activated TrkA Receptor

After the addition of NGF, activated TrkA bound to NGF is internalized into vesicles, which are converted to early endosomes and then late endosomes (Howe and Mobley, 2005). Deficits in either TrkA internalization or TrkA trafficking along the endosome differentiation pathway could diminish NGF-induced persistent ERK1/2 activation in PC12 cells expressing ZF-HA. We found that the expression of ZF-HA in PC12 cells or NTRAP shRNA in DRG neurons did not affect internalization of the TrkA receptor (Supplemental Figure S2). To investigate the role of NTRAP in endocytic trafficking of Trk receptors, we first examined the distribution of NTRAP in early and late endosomes. Confocal microscopy revealed that NTRAP was localized in vesicles in PC12 cells (Figure 6A). Some NTRAP-positive vesicles...
cles contained EEA1, a marker for early endosomes (Figure 6A), but very little Rab7, a late endosome component (Figure 6B). A 20-min NGF treatment caused a trend of reduction in colocalization of NTRAP with EEA1 (Figure 6A) and significantly increased the presence of NTRAP in late endosomes (Figure 6B), suggesting that NTRAP moved along with activated TrkA from early to late endosomes, perhaps through association.

To further examine the role of NTRAP in endocytic trafficking of TrkA, we used discontinuous iodixanol density gradients to separate different subcellular membranes. Membrane fractions were collected from the four interfaces after centrifugation and analyzed on immunoblots for their protein contents (Figure 7A). EEA1 and NTRAP were enriched in interface 4, whereas Rab7 was enriched in interfaces 2 and 3 (Figure 7A). Consistent with the result shown in Figure 6B, some NTRAP protein was shifted from interface 4 to interfaces 2 and 3 where late endosomes were enriched after 20-min NGF treatment. This result suggests that NTRAP is primarily localized in early endosomes in the absence of NGF, but NGF treatment relocates some NTRAP protein from early endosomes to late endosomes.

In the absence of NGF, the TrkA receptor was similarly distributed among interfaces 1–4 in the samples prepared from cells of vector 1 line and ZF-HA2 line (Figure 7B). It was enriched in interfaces 3 and 4 with its highest level in interface 4. However, TrkA appeared to follow different trafficking routes in vector 1 line and ZF-HA2 line after NGF treatment (Figure 7B). In vector 1 cells, the TrkA receptor moved to lower density of membrane compartments after 10-min NGF treatment and become enriched in interfaces 2 and 3, which is consistent with the flow of TrkA from the plasma membrane to early endosomes and then late endosomes. It moved back to interfaces 3 and 4 with its highest level in interface 3 after 20-min NGF treatment, which might result from a partial recycle of the internalized TrkA back to the plasma membrane. In ZF-HA2 cells, the TrkA receptor also moved to interfaces 2 and 3 after 10-min NGF treatment and remained there after 20-min NGF treatment. These results suggest that TrkA is either stuck in late endosomes or moved to a different type of membrane compartment that has a similar density to late endosomes. The distribution of activated TrkA (p-TrkA) among the four interfaces was similar to the total TrkA after NGF treatment (Figure 7B). Taken together, these results suggest that NTRAP plays a crucial role in normal trafficking of TrkA through early endosomes and late endosomes after NGF activation.

Because persistent ERK activation occurs in endosomes (York et al., 1998; Wu et al., 2001), the expression of ZF-HA may affect persistent ERK activation by altering TrkA trafficking through endosomes. We found that the distribution of total ERK1/2 in the four interfaces was similar among the lysates prepared from cells of vector 1 and ZF-HA2 lines (Figure 7C). In the gradient of the vector 1 lysate, p-ERK1/2 was more abundant in the interfaces enriched in p-TrkA; however, amounts of p-ERK1/2 were low in interfaces enriched in p-TrkA in the gradient of the ZF-HA2 lysate although ERK1/2 was present there (Figure 7C). These results suggest that NTRAP is required for TrkA to activate ERK1/2 in endosomes.

NTRAP Is Associated with Signaling Endosomes in Sensory Neurons

To determine whether NTRAP is also localized in early endosomes in neurons as in PC12 cells, we performed immunohistochemistry on DRG sections from E18 rat embryos with antibodies to NTRAP, EEA1, and NGF. In the DRG small-sized neurons are dependent on NGF for support and are TrkA-expressing nociceptors (Snider and Silos-Santiago, 1996). In these neurons, NTRAP and EEA1 immunoreactivity displayed a punctate pattern and a great fraction of EEA1 (43 ± 3% , n = 51 cells) was colocalized with NTRAP (Figure 8, A–C), indicating that some NTRAP is associated with early endosomes. To determine whether some of the NTRAP early endosomes are signaling endosomes, we examined colocalization of NGF and NTRAP. Because embryonic DRG neurons do not express NGF, the NGF immunoreactivity should come from target-derived

Figure 6. NGF-induced localization of NTRAP in late endosomes. (A) Colocalization of NTRAP with the early endosome marker, EEA1. The graph shows percentage of NTRAP immunoreactivity that was colocalized with EEA1. Scale bar, 10 μm. (B) Colocalization of NTRAP with the late endosome marker, Rab7. PC12 cells were starved for serum overnight and then incubated with/without NGF (100 ng/ml) for 20 min. NGF treatment increased colocalization of NTRAP and Rab7. Scale bar, 10 μm.
NGF and thus marks signaling endosomes. In these neurons, 41 ± 3% (n = 50 cells) of NGF immunoreactivity was colocalized with NTRAP (Figure 8, D–F). These observations indicate that NTRAP is associated with signaling endosomes in DRG neurons.

**Figure 7.** NTRAP controls TrkA trafficking and ERK activation in endosomes. (A) Fractionation of membranous organelles. Membranous components isolated from PC12 cells were separated in iodixanol density gradients. The numbers inside the diagram indicate the concentration of iodixanol. Immunoblots show the distribution of EEA1, Rab7, and NTRAP isolated from vector 1 line of cells. (B) Distribution of the TrkA receptor among the four interfaces before and after NGF treatment. The numbers show the distribution of TrkA among the four interfaces. (C) Distribution of ERK1/2 among the four interfaces before and after NGF treatment. The numbers show the distribution of ERK1/2 among the four interfaces.

**Figure 8.** Colocalization of NTRAP with signaling endosome markers in DRG neurons. Dissected dorsal root ganglia from E18.5 rat embryo were sectioned at 10 µm, stained with antibodies to NTRAP and EEA1 (A–C) or to NTRAP and NGF (D–F), and examined with a confocal microscope. Scale bars, 5 µm.

**NTRAP Is Required for Retrograde Signaling of Neurotrophins**

Because NTRAP is important for trafficking of activated TrkA receptors along endosomes in PC12 cells and is localized in signaling endosomes in DRG neurons, we asked whether NTRAP plays a role in retrograde neurotrophic signaling. To this end, we used compartmentalized cultures of DRG neurons to mimic the action of a target-derived neurotrophin. It has been shown that neurotrophins on distal axons support the survival of cultured neurons and activate the transcription factor CREB (Tsui-Pierchala and Ginty, 1999; Watson et al., 1999; Ye et al., 2003). If NTRAP is essential for retrograde neurotrophic signaling, down-regulation of NTRAP with shRNA should reduce the effect of NGF and BDNF applied to distal axons on neuronal survival and CREB activation.

AAV was used to express NTRAP shRNA (NTRAP shRNA AAV) or a scrambled derivative of the shRNA (control shRNA AAV). Each AAV construct also expresses EGFP to mark infected neurons. Rat DRG neurons at E18.5 were plated into the central compartment and cultured in the presence of BDNF and NGF. They were infected with NTRAP shRNA AAV or control shRNA AAV on the third day in vitro (DIV3). AAV started to express shRNA ~5–7 d after infection, as indicated by appearance of EGFP. Infection of NTRAP shRNA AAV or control shRNA AAV did not interfere with DRG cells extending axons into the side compartment (Supplemental Figure S3). Immunocy-
tochemistry at DIV13 revealed that NTRAP expression in NTRAP shRNA AAV-infected neurons was diminished (Figure 9A). To quantify the reduction, we prepared protein extracts from AAV-infected DRG neuronal cultures at DIV13 and performed Western blots to determine levels of NTRAP (Figure 9B). The amount of NTRAP was reduced by 48% in NTRAP shRNA AAV-infected cultures in comparison with control shRNA AAV-infected cultures (Figure 9C; \( p < 0.05 \)) by Student’s t test, \( n = 3 \). These results indicate that viral expression of NTRAP shRNA efficiently reduces levels of NTRAP in DRG neurons.

To determine whether down-regulation of NTRAP blocks the ability of neurotrophins applied to distal axons to promote survival of DRG neurons, we infected DRG neurons with NTRAP shRNA AAV or control shRNA AAV on DIV3, deprived cell bodies in the central compartment or distal axons in the side compartment of neurotrophins for 3 days on DIV10, and performed DAPI staining to detect neurons undergoing apoptosis. Cells with fragmented or condensed nuclei were counted as apoptotic cells (Figure 9D, arrows). Expression of NTRAP shRNA significantly increased apoptosis by 135% in comparison with control shRNA when NGF and BDNF were removed from cell bodies (Figure 9E, \( p < 0.01 \)). Importantly, NTRAP shRNA did not affect survival of DRG neurons when NGF and BDNF remained in the central compartment (Figure 9E). These results indicate that neurotrophins at distal axons require NTRAP to promote neuronal survival.

To further demonstrate that NTRAP is required for retrograde neurotrophic signaling, we examined CREB activation by BDNF and NGF applied to distal axons. Previous studies demonstrate that activation of the MAPK signaling cascade by Trk receptors leads to phosphorylation of CREB (p-CREB) at Ser133, which promotes a nuclear response to neurotrophins (Ginty et al., 1994; Bonni et al., 1995; Finkbeiner et al., 1997). DRG cells in compartmentalized cultures were infected with AAV expressing shRNAs on DIV3, deprived of neurotrophins on DIV10 overnight, and treated with BDNF and NGF at distal axons for 20 min. The p-CREB immunoreactivity in neurons infected by control shRNA AAV was then compared with those infected by NTRAP shRNA AAV, as revealed by Western blots. The percentage of NTRAP shRNA AAV-infected neurons expressing p-CREB was reduced by 55% in comparison with control shRNA AAV-infected neurons (Figure 9G, \( p < 0.01 \)) by Student’s t test; \( n = 3 \). No significant differences in CREB activation were observed between DRG neurons expressing NTRAP shRNA and control shRNA when neurotrophins were applied to cell bodies (Figure 9G). Taken together, the results from the apoptosis and CREB phosphorylation studies show that NTRAP is required for retrograde signaling of neurotrophins from distal axons to cell bodies.
DISCUSSION

NTRAP interacts with receptor tyrosine kinases through a novel mechanism. Most signaling proteins interact with receptor tyrosine kinases through their SH2 domain (Src homology domain 2) or PTB domain (phosphotyrosine-binding domain), such as Shc and PLC-γ, which is dependent on receptor activation and phosphorylation of key tyrosine residues (Schlessinger, 2000). However, a small number of signaling proteins interact with receptor tyrosine kinases independent of activation status of the receptors. For example, ARMS, an intramembrane-spanning protein, is required for sustained neurotrophin signaling and interacts with the transmembrane domain of Trk receptors (Arevalo et al., 2004). Our results indicate that NTRAP does not belong to either one of the two classes. First, NTRAP interacts with inactive Trk receptors in both yeast and mammalian cells. Second, neurotrophin activation greatly enhances the interaction of NTRAP with Trk receptors. Although we have not identified the Trk sequence that interacts with NTRAP, the interaction site must include the tyrosine kinase domain and/or the short carboxy terminus of a Trk receptor because deletion of these two regions completely abolishes the interaction of TrkC with NTRAP.

How does neurotrophin activation enhance the interaction of NTRAP and Trk receptors? C2H2 zinc finger proteins comprise a large family of regulatory proteins, many of which are transcription factors, bind to specific DNA sequences, and participate in a variety of cellular activities (Iuchi, 2001). Some C2H2 zinc fingers bind to RNAs or mediate self-association or selective association with other proteins (Iuchi, 2001; Edelstein and Collins, 2005). NTRAP contains five tandem C2H2 zinc fingers, and the third C2H2 zinc finger motif is required for the interaction with Trk receptor. Because a majority of C2H2 zinc fingers bind to nucleic acids, phosphorylation of tyrosine residues may bestow some properties of nucleic acids on Trk receptors. TrkC contains five key tyrosine residues at positions 485, 674, 678, 679, and 789, which serve as the docking sites for signaling proteins Shc, Frs2, SH2-B, and PLC-γ when they are phosphorylated (Reichardt, 2006). However, we found that substitution of these tyrosine residues with phenylalanine residues did not weaken the interaction of the two proteins (Supplemental Figure S4), indicating that phosphotyrosine residues are not required for binding of NTRAP to Trk receptors. Therefore, it is more likely that neurotrophin activation alters the conformation of the Trk intracellular domain, which enhances the association of the two proteins. These observations also suggest that the active site and the three autophosphorylation sites (tyrosine residues at 674, 678, and 679 in the rat TrkC) in the tyrosine kinase domain have distinct roles in neurotrophic signaling. Although the third C2H2 zinc finger is critical for the interaction of NTRAP and Trk receptors, other C2H2 zinc fingers of NTRAP enhance the interaction. These C2H2 zinc fingers may affect the interaction by either interacting weakly with Trk receptors or mediating dimerization of NTRAP.

Our results from both PC12 cells and DRG neurons indicate that NTRAP plays a crucial role in retrograde neurotrophic signaling. Inhibiting NTRAP function with expression of its N-terminal fragment diminished NGF-dependent differentiation without affecting survival in PC12 cells. Because signaling cascades activated by TrkA receptor on cell surface are sufficient to mediate NGF-induced survival, but NGF-induced differentiation requires internalization of the Trk receptor in PC12 cells (Zhang et al., 2000), Our observation suggests that NTRAP may be involved in the internalization and/or subsequent endocytic sorting of activated Trk receptors. The observation that expression of NTRAP shRNA or ZF-HA did not affect internalization of Trk receptors in PC12 cells and DRG neurons indicates that NTRAP is likely required for intracellular sorting of internalized Trk receptors. Our several observations support this argument. First, a significant fraction of NTRAP is localized to early endosomes and, after neurotrophin stimulation, to late endosomes. Second, membrane fractionation analysis showed that endocytic TrkA trafficking was normal in the first 10 min of NGF treatment, which should include the internalization step, and aberrant in the second 10 min of NGF treatment in cells expressing ZF-HA. Finally, expression of ZF-HA impaired NGF-induced persistent ERK activation in PC12 cells, which is dependent on TrkA signaling in Rap1-associated endosomes (York et al., 1998; Wu et al., 2001). In light of substantial evidence indicating that retrograde neurotrophic signaling is mediated by endosomes carrying internalized Trk receptors (Cosker et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2008), these observations further suggest that NTRAP may play a critical role in retrograde neurotrophic signaling. Indeed, NTRAP is localized to signaling endosomes in DRG neurons, as indicated by colocalization of NTRAP and NGF in small-sized DRG neurons. Importantly, we show that NTRAP knockdown inhibits the ability of neurotrophins applied to the side compartment to promote neuronal survival and to activate CREB without affecting the action of neurotrophins applied to cell bodies in compartmentalized cultures of DRG neurons. Taken together, these results support the notion that NTRAP regulates retrograde neurotrophic signaling by controlling endocytic trafficking of internalized Trk receptors.

In the endocytic pathway, GTPases Rab5 and Rab7 act in a sequential manner by controlling targeting and fusion of clathrin-coated vesicles to early endosomes and regulating progression from early to late endosomes, respectively (Pfeffer, 2003; Rink et al., 2005; Deinhardt et al., 2006). Internalized molecules in early and late endosomes can be recycled back to the plasma membrane. They can also be stored in endosomes for a long time or transported to lysosomes in which they are degraded (Sorkin and Von Zastrow, 2002). Several studies indicate that Trk receptors at axonal terminals are internalized upon neurotrophin activation via clathrin-mediated (Grimes et al., 1996; Grimes et al., 1997; Howe et al., 2001) or pincher-dependent endocytosis (Valdez et al., 2005, 2007). Characterization of endosomes localized in axons suggests that the retrograde neurotrophic signal is carried in either early endosomes (Delcroix et al., 2003) or late endosomes (Deinhardt et al., 2006). Activated TrkA receptors in either early or late endosomes are able to induce sustained activation of ERK1/2 (Delcroix et al., 2003, 2007). However, it is unknown whether signaling endosomes are a specialized population of early or late endosomes and, if so, which protein controls their generation. Biochemical fractionation analyses revealed that in PC12 cells with disrupted NTRAP function the internalized TrkA receptor was stuck in fractions enriched in late endosomes and was not associated with activated ERK1/2. These results suggest that signaling endosomes are indeed specialized ones and require NTRAP for their formation or maturation. This argument is further supported by our observation that inhibition of NTRAP reduced the sustained activation of ERK1/2, Rap1 activation, and differentiation in PC12 cells after NGF treatment. Future live imaging of Trk trafficking along different membrane compartments is necessary to reveal the precise role of NTRAP in the formation of signaling endosomes.
NTRAP likely regulates endocytic trafficking of Trk receptors by linking Trk receptors to other factors important for sorting of endosomes. NTRAP has a RING finger motif at its N-terminus, which is commonly found in E3 ubiquitin ligases (Jackson et al., 2000). Although we failed to detect any effect of NTRAP on ubiquitination or sumoylation of Trk receptors (data not shown), NTRAP may mediate posttranslational modifications of other associated proteins. It can recruit proteins to Trk-containing endosomes with its two proline-rich regions and modify these proteins. Posttranslational modifications can change the property of the endosomes and specify the endosomes as retrograde cargoes. As an example, the RNA-binding protein La is anterogradely transported into axons by kinesin motors, and sumoylation in axons triggers retrograde transport of La because sumoylated La exclusively binds to dynein (van Niekerk et al., 2007). Rab5 is regulated through the binding of guanine nucleotides and is active when bound to GTP. Its activation is required to complete vesicle targeting and fusion processes and is positively regulated by several guanine exchange factors, which stimulate the release of GDP, allowing GTP to enter the guanine-binding site (Carney et al., 2006). It is intriguing to speculate that NTRAP may control the endocytic pathway by posttranslationally modifying the regulators of Rab5. Further investigation of the ubiquitination activity and interacting partners of NTRAP should provide new insights into the molecular mechanism of retrograde neurotrophic signaling.
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