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Dendritic spines are the postsynaptic sites of most excitatory synapses in the brain and are highly enriched in polymerized F-actin, which drives the formation and maintenance of mature dendritic spines and synapses. We propose that suppressing the activity of the actin-severing protein cofilin plays an important role in the stabilization of mature dendritic spines, and is accomplished through an EphB receptor–focal adhesion kinase (FAK) pathway. Our studies revealed that Cre-mediated knock-out of loxP-flanked fak prompted the reversion of mature dendritic spines to an immature filopodial-like phenotype in primary hippocampal cultures. The effects of FAK depletion on dendritic spine number, length, and morphology were rescued by the overexpression of the constitutively active FAK Y397E, but not FAK Y397F, indicating the significance of FAK activation by phosphorylation on tyrosine 397. Our studies demonstrate that FAK acts downstream of EphB receptors in hippocampal neurons and EphB2–FAK signaling controls the stability of mature dendritic spines by promoting cofilin phosphorylation, thereby inhibiting cofilin activity. While constitutively active nonphosphorylatable cofilin S3A induced an immature spine profile, phosphomimetic cofilin S3D restored mature spine morphology in neurons with disrupted EphB activity or lacking FAK. Further, we found that EphB-mediated regulation of cofilin activity at least partially depends on the activation of Rho-associated kinase (ROCK) and LIMK-1. These findings indicate that EphB2-mediated dendritic spine stabilization relies, in part, on the ability of FAK to activate the RhoA–ROCK–LIMK-1 pathway, which functions to suppress cofilin activity and inhibit cofilin-mediated dendritic spine remodeling.

Introduction

Dendritic spines are small protrusions on the surface of dendrites that receive the majority of excitatory synapses, and changes in their morphology are implicated in synaptic plasticity and long-term memory (Harris, 1999; Hering and Sheng, 2001; Yuste and Bonhoeffer, 2001; Carlisle and Kennedy, 2005). Many cell-adhesion molecules are located on the surface of dendritic spines and play important roles in dendrite spine formation and plasticity, including Eph receptors and ephrins, neurotrophins, and neurexins, as well as cadherins and integrins (Ethell and Pasquale, 2005; Sheng and Hoogenraad, 2007). These cell surface proteins mediate dendritic spine communications with presynaptic terminals, glial cells, or components of the extracellular matrix, and trigger intracellular signaling cascades that influence actin cytoskeletal organization in dendritic spines.

Actin is the major cytoskeletal component that is accumulated in dendritic spines (Fischer et al., 1998; Matus, 2000; Carlisle and Kennedy, 2005). Rapid assembly and disassembly of actin drives the formation of dendritic spines and their morphological plasticity (Ethell and Pasquale, 2005; Lippman and Dunaevsky, 2005). Several signaling cascades link cell surface receptors to intracellular factors that directly regulate actin assembly or remodeling, such as the actin-severing protein cofilin. Our current studies suggest that long-lasting EphB receptor signaling in mature dendritic spines suppresses cofilin-mediated dendritic spine remodeling through the recruitment and activation of focal adhesion kinase (FAK). FAK is a nonreceptor tyrosine kinase that is widely expressed in different cell types and is implicated in a wide range of biological processes, including tissue formation, cell migration, and tumor progression (Parsons et al., 2000; Abbi and Guan, 2002; Mitra et al., 2005). In the brain, FAK has been shown to regulate neurite outgrowth and branching in developing neurons (Menegon et al., 1999; Beggs et al., 2003; Contestabile et al., 2003; Rico et al., 2004). However, the role of FAK in dendritic spine maintenance and plasticity still remains unclear.

Here we show that FAK activity, which can be modulated by EphB receptors, plays an important role in the maintenance of mature dendritic spines by suppressing the activity of actin-severing cofilin through phosphorylation. Cre-mediated knock-out (KO) of loxP-flanked fak in 14 and 21 d in vitro (DIV) hippocampal neurons induced the remodeling of existing dendritic...
spines and actin reorganization. The effects of FAK depletion on dendritic spine morphology and actin reorganization were rescued by overexpression of the constitutively active FAK Y397E, but not the FAK Y397F, indicating the importance of FAK activation in dendritic spine maintenance. Constitutively active FAK Y397E also restored mature dendritic spine morphology disrupted by inhibiting EphB receptor activity with dominant-negative EphB2 (dnEphB2), indicating that FAK acts downstream of EphB receptors in hippocampal neurons. The ability of FAK to promote mature dendritic spine morphology also depended on cofilin activity. We show that the inhibition of EphB receptor activity or Cre-mediated fak deletion enhances cofilin-mediated dendritic spine remodeling, which can be blocked by overexpression of phosphomimetic cofilin S3D, but not by nonphosphorylatable, constitutively active cofilin S3A. Our findings indicate that EphB receptors control the stability of mature dendritic spines, in part, through LIMK-mediated regulation of cofilin activity.

Materials and Methods

Expression vectors. The expression vectors used were: pEGFP-N1 and pDsRed-C2 (Clontech), pcDNA3-EphB2 and pcDNA3-EphB2K662R (dnEphB2) (Ethell et al., 2001), pPGK-Cre (from Dr. Marc Schmidt-Supprian, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA), pYPF-FAK (from Dr. Donna Webb, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA) (Webb et al., 2004), pcDNA3-GFP-cofilin, pcDNA3-GFP-cofilinS3A, and pcDNA3-GFP-cofilinS3D. Single amino acid point mutations in FAK were generated by mutagenesis of tyrosine (Y) 397 into phenylalanine (F) or glutamate (E); proline (P) 878 into alanine (A); and leucine (L) 1034 into serine (S) using a QuikChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene).

Antibodies. The primary antibodies used were as follows: rabbit anti-FAK [Santa Cruz Biotechnologies; 1 μg/ml for Western blot (WB) and 4 μg/ml for immunocytochemistry (ICC)], rabbit anti-pY397 FAK (BIO- SOURCE International; 0.25 μg/ml for WB), rabbit anti-Src (BIO- SOURCE International; 1 μg/ml for WB), mouse anti-paxillin (BD Transduction Laboratories; 2 μg/ml for WB), rabbit anti-pY31 paxillin (BIO SOURCE International; 1 μg/ml for WB), and rabbit anti-Tyr(P) 418 Src (BIO SOURCE International; 1 μg/ml for WB), rabbit anti-β3 integrin (AB1932, Millipore Bioscience Research Reagents; 2 μg/ml for WB), goat anti-EphB2 (R & D Systems; 0.2 μg/ml for WB), rabbit anti-Cre (69050-3, Novagen; 2 μg/ml for ICC), mouse anti-FLAG (Sigma; 1 μg/ml for ICC), rabbit anti-phospho-LIMK-1/2 (Cell Signaling; 1:1000 for WB), rabbit anti-LIMK-1 (Millipore Bioscience Research Reagents; 0.75 μg/ml for WB), rabbit anti-cofilin (A CLFL2, Cytoskeleton; 0.25 μg/ml for WB; 1 μg per 20 μl of protein A-agarose beads (Sigma)), and rabbit anti-phospho-cofilin (S3) (ab12866, Abcam; 1:1000 for WB). The affinity-purified rabbit anti-phospho-cofilin (4321; 1 μg/ml for ICC) and mouse anti-cofilin (Mab22; 15 μg/ml for ICC) antibodies were generous gifts from Dr. James Bamburg (Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO).

Hippocampal neuronal cultures and transfection. Cultures of hippocampal neurons were prepared from embryonic day 15 (E15) or E16 mice as previously described (Shi and Ethell, 2006). Briefly, after treatment with papain (0.5 mg/ml) and DNase (0.6 μg/ml) for 20 min at 37°C and mechanical dissociation, cells were plated on glass coverslips or plastic dishes coated with poly-DL-ornithine (0.5 mg/ml) and laminin (5 μg/ml). The cells were cultured in Neurobasal medium with 25 mM glutamine, 1% penicillin–streptomycin, and B27 supplement (Invitrogen) under a 5% CO2/10% O2 atmosphere at 37°C. The cultures were transfected at 12 or 19 DIV using the calcium phosphate method as previously described (Jiang and Chen, 2006; Shi and Ethell, 2006). The protocol achieves high transfection efficiency (10–20%), a high cotransfection rate (~95%), and low cell toxicity.

Conditional fak KO. Hippocampal neurons were harvested from E15–E16 fak conditional (Cre-foxP) KO mice (Beggs et al., 2003) and cultured as described above. The pPGK-Cre vector was obtained from Dr. Marc Schmidt-Supprian (Harvard Medical School). The cultures were transiently transfected at 12 DIV or 19 DIV using the calcium phosphate method. The neurons were transfected with pEGFP or pDsRed to visualize dendritic spine morphology and/or with pPGK-Cre to abolish FAK expression. For FAK rescue experiments, the neurons were cotransfected with pEGFP, pEGFP plus pPGK-Cre, or pEGFP plus pPGK-Cre plus one of the following: pYFP-FAK, pYFP-FAKL1034S, pYFP-FAKP878A, or pYFP-FAKY397E. For cofilin overexpression experiments, the neurons were transfected with pEGFP, pYFP-FAK, pYFP-FAFK397E, pYFP-FAK397F, or pYFP-FAKL1034S. For cofilin experiments, the neurons were transfected with pDsRed, pDsRed plus pPGK-Cre, or pDsRed plus pPGK-Cre plus one of the following: pcDNA3-GFP-wt cofilin, pcDNA3-GFP-cofilinS3A, or pcDNA3-GFP-cofilinS3D. At 14 DIV or 21 DIV, the cultures were fixed and processed for indirect immunofluorescence. Dendritic spines were visualized by GFP or DsRed fluorescence, counted, and measured as described below in Image analysis. Cre expression was detected in the nuclei of the pEGFP/pPGK-Cre-transfected neurons by immunostaining. The analysis has shown that 95 ± 7% of GFP-expressing neurons (n = 238) were also positive for Cre in pEGFP/pPGK-Cre-transfected cultures. Moreover, FAK depletion was assessed through FAK immunofluorescence labeling. The dendrites of double pEGFP/pPGK-Cre-transfected neurons showed significantly lower FAK immunoreactive fluorescence at 14 DIV (18 ± 11%, n = 14) than control GFP-transfected neurons (100%) (supplemental Fig. 1, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). The FAK immunoreactivity was restored to control levels in dendrites of GFP/Cre-expressing neurons that were transfected with pYFP-FAK (90 ± 7%, n = 14). The values represent mean ± SD.

Activation and inhibition of the EphB2 receptor. To activate the EphB2 receptor in cultured hippocampal neurons, we used ephrinB2-Fc (R & D Systems), preclusted with anti-human Fc antibody (Jackson Immuno Research Laboratories) before the application. To preclue, ephrinB2-Fc or control human Fc fragments were mixed with goat anti-human Fc antibody in a 2:1 ratio and incubated on ice for 1 h before application to the cultured neurons for 5, 15, 30, or 60 min. To inhibit EphB receptor activity, cultured hippocampal neurons were transfected with pcDNA3-EphB2K662R (dnEphB2) (Ethell et al., 2001) at 12 or 19 DIV. For FAK rescue experiments, the neurons were cotransfected with pEGFP, pEGFP plus pcDNA3-dnEphB2K662R, or pEGFP plus pcDNA3-dnEphB2K662R plus one of the following: pYFP-FAK, pYFP-FAKY397E, or pYFP-FAKP397F. For cofilin experiments, the neurons were transfected with pDsRed, pDsRed plus pcDNA3-dnEphB2K662R, or pDsRed plus pcDNA3-dnEphB2K662R plus one of the following: pcDNA3-GFP-wt cofilin, pcDNA3-GFP-cofilinS3A, or pcDNA3-GFP-cofilinS3D.

Two days after transfection, at 14 or 21 DIV, the cultures were fixed and processed for immunostaining. Dendritic spines were visualized by GFP fluorescence and/or with DsRed fluorescence as described below in Image analysis. The overexpression of Flag-tagged dnEphB2K662R was confirmed by immunostaining against Flag. The expression levels of transfected FAK constructs were assessed as the ratio of exogenous protein to endogenous protein by measuring the immunofluorescence signal in neighboring transfected (GFP or DsRed positive) and untransfected neurons immunostained with anti-FAK antibody. The dendrites of neurons transfected with pYPF-FAK showed significantly higher FAK immunoreactive fluorescence (188 ± 36%, n = 12) than those of untransfected neurons (100%). Expression of GFP-tagged cofilin constructs was confirmed by GFP fluorescence.

Immunocytochemistry. Hippocampal neurons (14 or 21 DIV) were fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde, permeabilized in 0.1% Triton X-100 or 0.2 mg/ml saponin, and then blocked in PBS containing 5% normal goat serum and 1% BSA. Dendritic spines and filopodia were visualized by GFP fluorescence. To detect polymerized filamentous actin (F-actin), the cultures were incubated with rhodamine-coupled phalloidin (1:100; R-415; Invitrogen). The primary antibodies used were the following: rabbit anti-Cre (69050-3, Novagen; 2 μg/ml); mouse anti-FLAG (Sigma; 1 μg/ml); rabbit anti-FAK (Santa Cruz Biotechnologies, 4 μg/ml); mouse anti-FAK (BD; 5 μg/ml); mouse anti-PSD-95 (clone 6G6, Affinity BioReagents, 33 μg/ml); and mouse anti-synaptophysin (SVP-38, Sigma, 61 μg/ml). The secondary antibodies used were the following: 4 μg/ml Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-mouse IgG, 4 μg/ml Alexa Fluor 594-
The neurons were fixed for 30 min in 2% paraformaldehyde in PBS or cytotoxic preservation buffer containing 10 mM MES, pH 6.1, 138 mM KCl, 3 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM EGTA, and 0.32 M sucrose. The cultures were washed in PBS and permeabilized in 0.3% Triton X-100 for 10 min and then blocked in PBS containing 5% normal goat serum and 1% BSA. The cultures were immunostained for phospho-cofilin with affinity-purified rabbit anti-phospho-cofilin antibody (4321; 1 μg/ml) and for total cofilin with mouse anti-cofilin antibody (MAB22; 15 μg/ml; both are generous gifts from Dr. James Bamburg). The secondary antibodies used were Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG, Alexa Fluor 660-conjugated anti-mouse IgG, and 4 μg/ml Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG. Fluorescence was analyzed using a confocal laser-scanning microscope (model LSM 510; Carl Zeiss MicroImaging). A series of five high-resolution optical sections (1024 × 1024 pixel format) were taken for each neuron with a 63× objective (1.2 numerical aperture), 1 μm step intervals (z-stack) was collapsed into a single image by projection (Zeiss LSM Image Examiner), converted to a tiff file, and analyzed using Image-Pro Plus software. Seven to 10 neurons were randomly selected for each experimental group, and three to four proximal dendrites per each neuron were analyzed. The levels of phospho-cofilin and total cofilin were assessed by measuring the immunofluorescence signals in dendrites of neighboring transfected (GFP positive) and untransfected neurons immunostained with anti-phospho-cofilin and anti-cofilin antibodies. The background fluorescence was subtracted. The phospho-cofilin levels or ratio of total/phospho-cofilin in untransfected neurons were compared by Student’s t test. Statistical differences between transfected and untransfected neurons were compared by Student’s t test. Statistical differences for multiple groups were assessed by one-way ANOVA followed by Newman–Keuls post hoc tests.

Image analysis. The dendritic morphology and F-actin organization were examined in 14 DIV or 21 DIV hippocampal neurons as previously described (Moeller et al., 2006; Shi and Ethell, 2006). The details of the analysis are described in the supplemental Methods (available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material).

Biochemical assays. For EphB2 activation, 14 DIV hippocampal neurons were treated with 2 μg/ml ephrin B2-Fc or 2 μg/ml control Fc for 15 min, and lysed in ice-cold TBS (25 mM Tris, pH 7.4; 0.15 mM NaCl) containing 1% Triton X-100, 5 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM pervaanadate, and protease inhibitor mixture (Sigma). Cell lysates were cleared by centrifugation at 12,000 × g. Cell lysates were incubated with 20 μl of protein A-agarose beads (Sigma) with 1 μg of anti-FAK antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnologies), or with 1 μg of anti-cofilin antibody (Cytoskeleton) for 1 h at 4°C. The beads were washed three times with ice-cold lysis buffer. Bound materials were eluted with SDS-PAGE sample buffer, resolved on 8–16% Tris-glycine gels, transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes, and immunoblotted with specific primary antibodies followed by incubation with corresponding secondary HRP-conjugated antibody and ECL detection. The secondary antibodies used were HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit (0.08 μg/ml; Jackson ImmunoResearch), HRP-conjugated donkey anti-mouse (0.08 μg/ml; Jackson ImmunoResearch), and HRP-conjugated donkey anti-goat (0.04 μg/ml; Jackson ImmunoResearch).

To inhibit ROCK, 14 DIV hippocampal neurons were preincubated with 10 or 75 μM Y27632 for 30 min and then treated with 4 μg/ml ephrin B2-Fc or 4 μg/ml control Fc for 20 min in the presence of ROCK inhibitor. Cell lysates were prepared as indicated above and subjected to immunoblotting against phospho-cofilin, cofilin, phospho-LIMK-1, and LIMK-1 using specific antibodies. The levels of phospho-cofilin or phospho-LIMK-1 were quantified by densitometry and normalized to total cofilin or LIMK-1 levels, respectively. Three independent experiments were performed for each condition. Statistical differences between Fc-treated and ephrinB2-Fc-treated samples were compared by Student’s t test.

Results
FAK depletion affects maintenance of mature dendritic spines in cultured hippocampal neurons
To determine the role of FAK in the maintenance of mature dendritic spines, we assessed the effects of FAK depletion on cultured hippocampal neurons at 14 and 21 DIV. Cells obtained from E15 hippocampi of conditional fak knock-out mice, bearing loxp-Flanked fak alleles, were cultured for 12 or 19 DIV to establish dendritic spines and synapses, then transfected with pEGFP (GFP) to visualize dendrite spine morphology and pPGK-Cre (Cre) to abolish FAK expression (Fig. 1A–C). FAK depletion was confirmed at 14 or 21 DIV by indirect immunofluorescence against FAK (Fig. 1A–C; supplemental Fig. 1, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Cre-mediated deletion of fak led to reversion of mature spines to an immature phenotype in both 14 DIV and 21 DIV hippocampal neurons: dendritic protrusions were longer and more numerous in FAK-deficient neurons than in wild-type (WT) neurons (Fig. 1D, F; supplemental Fig. 2, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). FAK-deficient neurons had fewer short mushroom-shaped and stubby protrusions (<2.0 μm) and more long filopodia-like protrusions (2.0–40.0 μm) than did WT neurons (Fig. 1E; supplemental Fig. 2, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). These significant effects of FAK depletion on dendritic protrusion number and length were suppressed by FAK overexpression (Fig. 1D–F), suggesting that FAK may regulate maintenance of mature dendritic spines in hippocampal neurons.

Dendritic spines accommodate the postsynaptic sites of excitatory synapses in hippocampal neurons, and changes in dendritic spine number and morphology directly correlate with synaptogenesis. To investigate whether changes in the number and length of dendritic protrusions triggered by Cre-mediated fak deletion also affected excitatory synapses in 14 DIV hippocampal neurons, we examined the dendritic spine morphology and the distribution of presynaptic and postsynaptic proteins, synaptophysin and PSD-95, respectively (Fig. 2A–F). FAK-deficient neurons exhibited longer dendritic spines with smaller heads than WT neurons (Fig. 2G, H). Analysis of dendritic spine morphology also revealed that FAK depletion induced an immature dendritic spine profile with a higher proportion of filopodia-like spines and fewer mushroom-shaped dendritic spines (Fig. 2J). Interestingly, filopodia-like long spines in FAK-deficient neurons occasionally formed branches and received multiple synaptophysin-positive presynaptic boutons (Fig. 2J; supplemental Fig. 3, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). These effects of fak deletion on dendritic spines and synapses were reversed by FAK overexpression (Fig. 2C, F–J). The evidence from these studies suggests that FAK plays an important role in the maintenance of mature dendritic spines.
role in the maintenance of mature dendritic spines and synapses in hippocampal neurons.

**FAK depletion promotes actin reorganization in mature dendritic spines and increases dendritic spine motility**

Actin is the major component of the cytoskeleton that is accumulated in dendritic spines and is responsible for their formation, maintenance, and remodeling (Fischer et al., 1998; Matus, 2000; Carlisle and Kennedy, 2005). We next investigated whether the effects of FAK depletion on dendritic spine morphology are accompanied by actin reorganization. Polymerized F-actin, detected with rhodamine-coupled phalloidin, appeared as intense puncta along the dendritic shaft and was highly concentrated in the heads of mature dendritic spines of WT hippocampal neurons (Fig. 3A). However, in FAK-deficient neurons, thin dendritic spines contained linearly organized F-actin that appeared as hair-like extensions along the dendrite, while most F-actin was found within the dendritic shaft (Fig. 3B). Quantitative analysis showed a reduction in the number and size of F-actin clusters in dendritic spines of FAK-deficient neurons (Fig. 3D, E). These effects of fak deletion on actin organization in dendritic spines were rescued by overexpressing FAK (Fig. 3C–E). FAK depletion also enhanced dendritic spine motility in mature hippocampal neurons. While the dendritic spines of WT hippocampal neurons exhibited slow morphing movements, the spines of FAK-deficient neurons frequently protruded and retracted (supplemental Fig. 4, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Our results suggest that actin reorganization due to FAK depletion drives a reversion of mature spines to an immature phenotype.

**FAK activation/phosphorylation is required for its effects on dendritic spines**

Taking into account that FAK functions are tightly linked to its phosphorylation state, we examined whether FAK activation is important to its effects on dendritic spines. FAK autophosphorylation on tyrosine 397 and assembly of the FAK-Src signaling complex have been shown to play an important role in its activation (Schaller et al., 1994). Our studies reveal that nonphosphorylatable FAKY397E mutant failed to rescue the effects of fak deletion on dendritic spine length and morphology, indicating the significance of FAK phosphorylation on tyrosine 397 (Fig. 4A–D, E–F). In contrast, the overexpression of a constitutively active FAKV397E mutant restored mature dendritic spines in FAK-deficient hippocampal neurons. Finally, we investigated whether the dendritic spine-stabilizing effects of FAK depend on its ability to interact with Rho family GTPase regulatory proteins by mutating lysine 1034 to serine, or proline 878 to alanine in the focal adhesion targeting (FAT) domain of FAK. Indeed, FAKL1034S and FAKP878A mutants were unable to restore mature dendritic spine morphology in FAK-deficient hippocampal neurons (Fig. 4E, F). Altogether, these data provide further evidence that FAK activity and the FAT domain are important for the maintenance of mature dendritic spines and synapses.

**FAK acts downstream of EphB receptors in hippocampal neurons to maintain mature dendritic spines**

As FAK activity is an important factor in dendritic spine and synapse maturation, we next investigated whether FAK acts downstream of EphB receptors, contributing to the stability of
mature dendritic spines and synapses in hippocampal neurons. We found that similar to young 7 DIV hippocampal neurons, the activation of EphB2 receptor with its soluble ephrinB2-Fc ligand induced long-lasting phosphorylation of FAK on tyrosine 397, a known mechanism of FAK activation, as well as its association with the EphB2 receptor in mature 14 DIV hippocampal neurons (Fig. 5A, B). Treatment of these neurons with ephrinB2-Fc for 5 min resulted in a robust increase in the phosphorylation of FAK that remained high at 15 and 30 min, and assembly of a FAK/Src/paxillin complex. Interestingly, the recruitment and activation of FAK that was triggered by EphB2 receptor activation interfered with the association of FAK with integrins, well known regulators of FAK activity. Ephrin-B2-induced activation of EphB2 receptors led to a prolonged dissociation of FAK from β3 integrins,
suggesting a competition between EphB receptors and integrins for control over FAK in hippocampal neurons (Fig. 5A, B).

To inhibit forward signaling of the EphB2 receptor, we then cultured hippocampal neurons for 12 or 19 DIV to develop dendritic spines and synapses, and used transfection to express dnEphB2. Dendritic spines were identified as dendritic protrusions with adjacent synaptophysin-positive presynaptic boutons and positive PSD-95 immunoreactivity. Overexpression of dnEphB2 significantly changed dendritic spine length and morphology toward an immature spine profile, suggesting that EphB receptor forward signaling is also required for the maintenance of mature dendritic spine morphology in cultured hippocampal neurons (Fig. 6A, B, D–G). These changes in dendritic spine morphology, number, and length induced by the inhibition of EphB receptor forward signaling resembled the effects of fak deletion in cultured hippocampal neurons. Moreover, overexpression of constitutively active FAK 

EphB2 receptors induce cofilin phosphorylation in cultured hippocampal neurons

Our results show that FAK acts downstream of EphB receptors and may promote dendritic spine stability through Rho family GTPase regulatory proteins. Moreover, our previous studies demonstrated that EphB2 receptor activation in hippocampal neurons upregulates RhoA activity (Moeller et al., 2006). Altogether, these findings suggest that EphB2-mediated dendritic spine stabilization may rely on the ability of FAK to activate the RhoA–LIMK-1 pathway that works to suppress cofilin activity and discourage cofilin-mediated dendritic spine remodeling.

To investigate this hypothesis, we first assessed whether EphB2 receptor regulates cofilin activity. Cofilin induces F-actin disassembly and reorganization through its severing activity, which can be downregulated by phosphorylation of serine-3 (Bamburg, 1999). To investigate whether EphB2 receptor activation regulates cofilin activity, we examined cofilin phosphorylation following EphB2 receptor activation in 14 DIV hippocampal neurons. Western blot revealed a higher level of cofilin phosphorylation in neurons treated with ephrin-B2-Fc than in neurons treated with control Fc (p < 0.01) (Fig. 7A, B). Activation of EphB receptors also increased LIMK-1 activation in ephrin-B2-Fc-treated hippocampal neurons compared with Fc-treated controls as demonstrated by increased level of LIMK-1 phosphorylation by Western blot (p < 0.05) (Fig. 7C). Moreover, ephrin-B2-induced cofilin phosphorylation was significantly inhibited with a specific inhibitor, Y27632, of Rho-associated kinase (ROCK) (Fig. 7A, B). These results demonstrate that cofilin activity is controlled by EphB receptors at least partially through the regulation of ROCK and LIMK activities, and suggest that down-regulation of cofilin activity by LIMK-mediated phosphorylation may be responsible for maintaining mature dendritic spines.

FAK-mediated regulation of mature dendritic spine morphology and cofilin phosphorylation depends on its activation/phosphorylation and its ability to interact with regulators of Rho family GTPases

We have analyzed whether FAK overexpression can regulate dendritic spine morphology, cofilin phosphorylation, and the cofilin/phospho-cofilin ratio in dendrites of cultured mouse hippocampal neurons. Our studies demonstrate that overexpression of FAK significantly increased cofilin phosphorylation (p < 0.05) and reduced the cofilin/phospho-cofilin ratio (p < 0.05) in dendrites of cultured mouse hippocampal neurons compared with control GFP-expressing neurons (Fig. 8). Moreover, overexpression of constitutively active FAK 

Figure 3. FAK depletion induces actin reorganization in 14 DIV hippocampal neurons. A–C, Confocal images of 14 DIV hippocampal neurons expressing GFP alone (WT) (A), GFP and Cre (FAK KO) (B), or GFP, Cre, and FAK (FAK KO + FAK) (C). Neurons were obtained from E15 hippocampi of conditional fak mutant mice bearing floxP-flanked fak alleles, transfected at 12 DIV, and processed for indirect immunofluorescence at 14 DIV. Dendritic spine morphology was observed with GFP fluorescence (green). Polymerized F-actin was detected in dendritic spines with rhodamine-coupled phalloidin (red). Scale bars, 10 μm. D, E, Quantitative analysis of numbers (D) and sizes (E) of F-actin clusters in control neurons, FAK KO neurons, or FAK KO neurons overexpressing FAK. Data represent the average number of F-actin clusters per 10 μm of dendrite (D) or average size of F-actin clusters (E). Error bars indicate SEM (n = 250 – 500 F-actin clusters from 10 neurons per group; ***p < 0.001). FAK-deficient neurons show reductions in number and size of F-actin clusters in dendrites compared with control neurons. The effects are rescued by overexpression of FAK.
and $p < 0.01$, respectively), shifting the spine head-to-length ratio toward a more mature spine phenotype. However, the overexpression of either the FAK$^{\text{L1034S}}$ mutant, which is unable to interact with regulators of Rho family GTPases, or the nonphosphorylatable FAK$^{\text{Y397F}}$ failed to induce cofilin phosphorylation or to reduce cofilin/phospho-cofilin ratio compared with control GFP-expressing neurons. The levels of phospho-cofilin in the dendrites of neurons expressing FAK$^{\text{L1034S}}$ or FAK$^{\text{Y397F}}$ were significantly lower than in neurons overexpressing FAK or constitutively active FAK$^{\text{Y397E}}$ ($p < 0.05$ and $p < 0.01$, respectively). Furthermore, spine head size for neurons expressing FAK$^{\text{L1034S}}$ or FAK$^{\text{Y397F}}$ were significantly smaller than control neurons and those over-expressing FAK or FAK$^{\text{Y397E}}$ ($p < 0.001$), shifting the spine head-to-length ratio toward an immature spine phenotype. Our observations suggest that FAK activation on Y397 and its ability to interact with regulators of Rho family GTPases are involved in the regulation of cofilin phosphorylation and dendritic spine morphology in mature hippocampal neurons.

The constitutively active cofilin$^{\text{S3A}}$, but not inactive phosphomimetic cofilin$^{\text{S3D}}$ or wt-cofilin, induces immature dendritic spines

As a prelude to determining the role of cofilin activity in EphB2-mediated stabilization of dendritic spines, we analyzed the overall effects of constitutively active cofilin$^{\text{S3A}}$ or inactive cofilin$^{\text{S3D}}$ mutants on dendritic spine morphology. The overexpression of the nonphosphorylatable cofilin$^{\text{S3A}}$, but not the phosphomimetic cofilin$^{\text{S3D}}$ or wt-cofilin, induced remodeling of mature dendritic spines and the extension of new filopodia in 14 DIV hippocampal neurons (Fig. 9). Neurons expressing nonphosphorylatable cofilin$^{\text{S3A}}$ had dendritic spines that were longer and with smaller heads than neurons expressing inactive cofilin$^{\text{S3D}}$ or...
wt-cofilin (Fig. 9E–H). Interestingly, wt-cofilin accumulated in mature spines (supplemental Fig. 4, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material), but did not affect the size of dendritic spine heads (Fig. 9F), suggesting the existence of a signaling mechanism that suppresses cofilin activity in mature spines. In addition, the overexpression of inactive cofilinS3D significantly decreased the length of dendritic spines compared with those in control neurons or neurons expressing active cofilinS3A, shifting the spine head-to-length ratio toward a more mature spine phenotype. The ability of cofilinS3D to promote mature dendritic spines suggests that it may act on endogenous cofilin in a dominant-negative manner, probably by competing for binding to phosphatases that dephosphorylate and thereby activate cofilin. These results demonstrate that only nonphosphorylatable, constitutively active cofilinS3A prompted the transformation of mature mushroom-shaped spines into thin immature spines with smaller heads, indicating that cofilin activity is downregulated in mature dendritic spines by phosphorylation.

Phosphomimetic cofilinS3D restores mature dendritic spines disrupted by inhibiting EphB receptor activity or FAK depletion

To determine whether EphB signaling promotes dendritic spine stability through cofilin inhibition by phosphorylation, we disrupted this pathway at the level of either EphB2 receptor activity or FAK expression. We then assessed the effects of constitutively active cofilinS3A or phosphomimetic cofilinS3D mutants on dendritic spine morphology. The inhibition of EphB receptor forward signaling by overexpression of dnEphB2 significantly changed dendritic spine length and morphology toward an immature spine profile (Figs. 6, 10A–H). These changes were reversed by the overexpression of phosphomimetic cofilinS3D but not nonphosphorylatable cofilinS3A, suggesting that the regulation of cofilin activity plays an important role in EphB2 receptor-mediated dendritic spine maturation (Fig. 10A–H). Similar effects were seen with the overexpression of these cofilin mutants in FAK-deficient neurons (Fig. 10I–P). The disruption of mature spine phenotype seen in FAK-deficient neurons was reversed by overexpressing phosphomimetic cofilinS3D, but not nonphosphorylatable cofilinS3A. These studies suggest that the EphB2–FAK signaling pathway promotes the stabilization of mature dendritic spines and synapses through the regulation of cofilin activity by phosphorylation.

Discussion

Our studies reveal that nonreceptor tyrosine kinase FAK plays an important role in the maintenance of mature dendritic spines in cultured hippocampal neurons. Cre-mediated knock-out of loxP-flanked fak in dendrites of mature hippocampal neurons induced remodeling of dendritic spines and synapses. The effects of FAK deletion on dendritic spine morphology and synapses were reversed by the overexpression of wild-type FAK and constitutively active FAKY397F, but not FAKY397F, indicating a significance of FAK activation in the maintenance of mature dendritic spines. FAK is typically activated during assembly of integrin-mediated focal adhesions by phosphorylation on tyrosine 397, which in turn creates a binding site for other signaling proteins that link FAK to downstream signaling pathways and actin cytoskeleton (Schaller et al., 1994; Parsons, 2003; Mitra et al., 2005). In addition to integrins, several other cell surface receptors and their ligands were shown to regulate FAK activity, including the DCC receptor, netrin, Eph receptors, and ephrins (Cowan and Henkemeier, 2001; Miao et al., 2001; Li et al., 2004; Ren et al., 2004; Nikolopoulos and Giancotti, 2005; Moeller et al., 2006). Our previous studies demonstrated that EphB2 activation in 7 DIV hippocampal neurons induces FAK activation and assembly of a FAK/Src complex that contributes to the formation of dendritic spines and dendritic filopodia shortening/elimination (Moeller et al., 2006). Here we investigated whether EphB receptors also regulate FAK activity in mature dendritic spines. When EphB receptor forward signaling was inhibited by the overexpression of dnEphB2 in mature 14–21 DIV hippocampal neurons, we saw a shift toward an immature spine morphology that was reversed by the overexpression of constitutively active FAKY397F, but not nonphosphorylatable FAKY397F. The role of EphB2 receptor in the maintenance of mature dendritic spines has been also demonstrated in cortical neuron cultures (Kayser et al., 2008). Our results further confirm this observation in hippocampal neuron cultures and show that FAK acts downstream of the EphB receptors and FAK activation plays an important role in EphB2 signaling to maintain mature dendritic spines and synapses.

Next, we investigated the mechanism by which the EphB2–FAK pathway promotes dendritic spine stabilization. Our previous studies demonstrated that EphB-mediated activation of RhoA in hippocampal neurons depends on FAK activity (Moeller et al., 2006), suggesting that FAK may direct activity of actin-
regulating proteins in dendritic spines. FAK is known to associate with regulators of Rho family GTPases through its FAT domain and to regulate their activities in non-neuronal cells (Hildebrand et al., 1996; Zhai et al., 2003; Mitra et al., 2005; Torsoni et al., 2005; Iwanicki et al., 2008). In neurons FAK was shown to control axonal branching in part by regulating Rho family GTPases as the overexpression of FAKL1034S and FAKP878A mutants, which are unable to interact with regulators of Rho family GTPases, failed to rescue the axonal arborization phenotype observed in FAK-deficient neurons (Rico et al., 2004). Our studies demonstrate that FAKL1034S and FAKP878A mutants were also unable to restore mature dendritic spines in FAK-deficient hippocampal neurons. Therefore, it is possible that FAK also regulates actin organization in dendritic spines through Rho family GTPases.

Members of Rho family GTPases, such as RhoA, Cdc42, and Rac, are essential regulators of actin polymerization (Luo, 2002). Rho-related signaling have been linked to mental retardation (van Galen and Ramakers, 2005), and have been shown to regulate the formation of dendritic spines (Govek et al., 2004; Irie et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2005; Tolias et al., 2007; Xie et al., 2007; Saneyoshi et al., 2008; Wegner et al., 2008). Rac1, Cdc42, and RhoA can also promote activation of LIMK-1 through Pak and ROCK, respectively (Yang et al., 1998; Edwards et al., 1999; Maekawa et al., 1999; Asrar et al., 2009; Zhou et al., 2009). LIMK-1 is a nonreceptor serine/threonine kinase that inhibits F-actin severing protein cofilin by serine 3 phosphorylation (Arber et al., 1998; Sumi et al., 1998).
1999). LIMK-1 has been shown to be involved in dendritic spine development, as LIMK-1 KO mice fail to form morphologically mature dendritic spines (Meng et al., 2002). Rather, neurons from these knock-outs form thin spines with small heads and postsynaptic densities, similar in morphology to those seen in EphB1/2/3 triple KOs (Henkemeyer et al., 2003). The inhibition of LIMK translation by microRNA-134 also resulted in smaller spine heads (Schratt et al., 2006). LIMK-1 may stabilize the actin

Figure 8. FAK-mediated cofilin phosphorylation depends on FAK activation/phosphorylation and its ability to interact with regulators of Rho family GTPases. A–E, Confocal images of 14 DIV hippocampal neurons expressing GFP alone (A), GFP and FAK (GFP + FAK) (B), GFP and FAKY397E (GFP + FAKY397E) (C), GFP and FAKY397F (GFP + FAKY397F) (D), or GFP and FAKL1034S (GFP + FAKL1034S) (E). Neurons were obtained from E15 hippocampi of wt mice, transfected at 12 DIV, and processed for indirect immunofluorescence at 14 DIV. Dendritic spine morphology was observed with GFP fluorescence (green), and the distribution of phospho-cofilin (red) and total cofilin (blue) was detected by immunostaining. Scale bar, 10 μm. F, G, Quantification of phospho-cofilin levels (F) and the cofilin/phospho-cofilin ratio (G) in dendrites of transfected (GFP-positive) and control (GFP-negative) neurons. The levels of phospho-cofilin and cofilin were quantified by densitometry. Experimental values represent mean ± SEM (n = 20 dendrites from 5 neurons per group; *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001). H–L, Quantification of dendritic spine length (H); dendritic spine density (I); distribution of dendritic protrusion lengths: <2 μm, 2–4 μm, and >4 μm (J); dendritic spine head area (K); and spine head-area-to-length ratio (L). Error bars indicate SEM (n = 500 dendritic protrusions from 5 neurons per group; *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001).
Cofilin is an actin-severing protein that preferentially binds ADP-actin subunits, twisting and breaking preexisting actin filaments. This increases pool of G-actin monomers used by actin polymerizing factors and also creates free barbed ends on preexisting actin filaments, resulting in their elongation (Bamburg, 1999; Condeelis, 2001; Suetsugu et al., 2002; Sarmiere and Bamburg, 2004). Low levels of cofilin activity are detected in resting cells and contribute to F-actin depolymerization at the pointed ends and F-actin polymerization at the barbed ends, resulting in F-actin turnover at slow rate, steady state. On the other hand, enhanced cofilin activity is often detected in ruffling membranes and at the leading edge of migrating cells leading to fast F-actin dynamics. Cofilin activity is regulated by phosphorylation. LIMK-1 suppresses cofilin activity by phosphorylation on serine 3, which inhibits cofilin binding to F-actin. The cofilin-specific phosphatase slingshot dephosphorylates and activates cofilin. Thus, the action of cofilin in cells depends on its phosphorylation state (Andrianantoandro and Pollard, 2006). In synapses, enhanced cofilin activity could induce remodeling of actin-rich stable dendritic spines, their elongation and extension of new filopodia, while low cofilin activity would support F-actin maintenance in mature spines.

We have shown here that a constitutively active nonphosphorylatable cofilin S3A, but not wt-cofilin, induced remodeling of established mature dendritic spines and extension of new filopodia, suggesting the existence of a signaling mechanism that downregulates cofilin activity in mature spines. Our results demonstrate that EphB2 activation in 14 DIV hippocampal neurons induced cofilin phosphorylation and LIMK-1 activation as demonstrated by increased level of LIMK-1 phosphorylation (Fig. 7). Moreover, ephrin-B2-induced cofilin phosphorylation was inhibited with a specific ROCK inhibitor, Y27632, suggesting that EphB-mediated regulation of cofilin activity at least partially depends on the activation of ROCK and LIMK-1. EphB receptors can also regulate Rac1 activity in dendritic spines through Rac exchange factors, kalirin-7 and Tiam1 (Penzes et al., 2003; Tolias et al., 2007). Moreover, the Rac–Pak–LIMK pathway was recently suggested to contribute to SynGAP-mediated regulation of steady-state cofilin phosphorylation in dendritic spines (Carlisle et al., 2008). Therefore, it is possible that the Pak–LIMK pathway may also contribute to EphB-mediated regulation of cofilin phosphorylation in dendritic spines. If the regulation of cofilin activity by phosphorylation is the mechanism behind the spine-stabilizing effect of the EphB2–FAK–LIMK pathway, then interference at any point in this pathway would lead to lower LIMK activity and/or higher slingshot activity, shifting the phospho-cofilin/cofilin equilibrium toward cofilin dephosphorylation, thereby inducing cofilin-mediated dendritic spine remodeling. Then overexpressing the phosphomimetic cofilin S3D might restore phospho-cofilin/cofilin equilibrium by inhibiting dephosphorylation of endogenous phospho-cofilin, rescuing the mature spine morphology. Indeed, the inhibition of EphB2 activity by overexpressing dnEphB2 or Cre-mediated jak deletion induced dendritic spine remodeling and phosphomimetic cofilin S3D restored mature dendritic spine phenotype. Our findings suggest that EphB2–FAK pathway promotes dendritic spine stability at least partially through LIMK-mediated cofilin phosphorylation. However, role of cofilin phosphatase SSH in EphB2-mediated...
increase in cofilin phosphorylation is still unclear. Moreover, EphA4 receptor has been recently shown to modulate the association of cofilin with the plasma membrane by regulating phospholipase C activity (Zhou et al., 2007). Future studies will determine whether the EphB receptors also regulate the activity of SSH and PLC.

Recent evidence reveals that long-term potentiation (LTP) occurs within individual synapses, and that actin reorganization

Figure 10. Cofilin inactivation restores mature dendritic spines in hippocampal neurons with inhibited EphB receptor activity or Cre-mediated fak KO. A–D, I–L, Confocal images of 14 DIV hippocampal neurons expressing dsRed alone (dsRed) (A); dsRed and dnEphB2K662R (dsRed/dnEphB2) (B); dsRed, dnEphB2K662R, and GFP-cofilinS3A (dsRed/dnEphB2/cofilinS3A) (C); dsRed, dnEphB2K662R, and GFP-cofilinS3D (dsRed/dnEphB2/cofilinS3D) (D); dsRed alone (dsRed) (I); dsRed and Cre (dsRed/Cre) (J); dsRed, Cre, and GFP-cofilinS3D (dsRed/Cre/cofilinS3D) (K); or dsRed, Cre, and GFP-cofilinS3D (dsRed/Cre/cofilinS3D) (L). Scale bar, 10 μm. E–H, M–P, Quantification of average dendritic protrusion length (E, M); dendritic protrusion density (F, N); distribution of dendritic protrusion lengths: <2 μm, 2–4 μm, and >4 μm (G, O); and dendritic spine head area (H, P). Error bars indicate SEM (n = 500–800 dendritic protrusions from 7–10 neurons per group); **p < 0.05; ***p < 0.001. The overexpression of the phosphomimetic cofilinS3D mutant, but not the nonphosphorylatable constitutively active cofilinS3A mutant, reversed the effects of EphB2 receptor inactivation and Cre-mediated deletion of fak on dendritic spine morphology.
underlies synaptic plasticity (Carlisle and Kennedy, 2005; Lin et al., 2005; Kramár et al., 2006; Lynch et al., 2007; Rex et al., 2007; Becker et al., 2008). The effects of FAK depletion on actin organization, mature spine morphology, and the regulation of cofilin suggest that FAK signaling and cofilin phosphorylation may contribute to synaptic plasticity. Indeed, FAK was reported to play a role in LTP induction in the dentate gyri (Yang et al., 2003), and the importance of cofilin phosphorylation in synaptic plasticity during LTP induction, as well as the protective effects of a phosphomimetic cofilinS3D mutant against Ap-mediated spine loss, have been recently reported (Chen et al., 2007; Shankar et al., 2007). Moreover, two key upstream regulators of FAK activity in dendritic spines, EphB receptors and integrins, also play important roles in synaptogenesis, synaptic scaling, plasticity, and LTP (Dalva et al., 2000; Chavis and Westbrook, 2001; Chun et al., 2001; Ethell et al., 2001; Gruenwald et al., 2001; Henderson et al., 2001; Contractor et al., 2002; Penzes et al., 2003; Gall and Lynch, 2004; Huang et al., 2006; Kramár et al., 2006; Webb et al., 2007; Cingolani et al., 2008).

Our findings conclusively demonstrate that EphB receptors control stability of mature dendritic spines, in part, by suppressing cofilin activity through recruitment/activation of FAK and cofilin phosphorylation. Future studies will determine whether the mechanisms by which this pathway regulates maintenance of mature dendritic spines also orchestrate structural changes in dendritic spines that underlie synaptic plasticity and LTP.
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